

Primordial function and ambiguity in its determination

Vladimir K. Petrov*

*N. N. Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics
National Academy of Science of Ukraine
252143 Kiev, Ukraine. 01.12.2003*

Abstract

We study the possibility to reconstruct the primordial function for some periodic function. The procedure includes an analytical continuation of a discrete function for Fourier coefficients computation, that introduces an ambiguity. To establish conditions under which no ambiguity appears, the modification of Carlson theorem is suggested. In a case when no subsidiary condition is imposed, ambiguity in the definition of primordial function does not go beyond the functions which belong to space Ω' .

1 Introduction

In a previous work [1] we have put in correspondence to any tempered distribution $F(\varphi)$ some distribution

$$\widehat{\Sigma}F(\varphi) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(\varphi + 2\pi n) \equiv \widetilde{F}(\varphi) \quad (1)$$

Recall that tempered distributions $F(\varphi) \in S'$ are defined as functional

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\varphi) \phi(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (2)$$

on a space $S = \{\phi\}$ of fast decreasing test functions ϕ . Any $F(\varphi) \in S'$ can be represented as finite ($m < \infty$) derivative $F(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \varphi^m} f(\varphi)$ of some continuous tempered function ($|f(\varphi)| < |\varphi|^\sigma$; $|\varphi| \rightarrow \infty$; $\sigma = \text{const}$) [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Moreover, Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}[F(\varphi), t]$ of any $F(\varphi) \in S'$ also belongs to space S' [4, 5] and every periodic distribution is tempered [7].

It is clear that $\widehat{\Sigma}$ is linear operator, i.e. if $\widehat{\Sigma}f(\varphi) = \widetilde{f}(\varphi)$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}g(\varphi) = \widetilde{g}(\varphi)$, then $\widehat{\Sigma}[\alpha f(\varphi) + \beta g(\varphi)] = \alpha \widetilde{f}(\varphi) + \beta \widetilde{g}(\varphi)$.

*E-mail address: vkpetrov@yandex.ru

Procedure (1), which we call the cyclization, is used not infrequently (see e.g. [2]), but as a rule cyclization is applied to functions $F(\varphi)$ that decrease quite rapidly with $\varphi \rightarrow \pm\infty$ and $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ appears periodic. In [1] we considered the cases when this is not true and $\tilde{F}(\varphi) \neq \tilde{F}(\varphi + 2\pi)$. In this paper we handle only periodic $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ and consider the possibility to reconstruct function $F(\varphi)$ (called here 'primordial') from the given $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$, in other words, we consider procedure $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\tilde{F}(\varphi) = F(\varphi)$ inverse to (1). Since there is a family of functions $\omega(\varphi)$ such that $\hat{\Sigma}\omega(\varphi) = 0$, the inverse procedure is ambiguous and we estimate the degree of possible ambiguity.

2 Primordial functions

We will perform the cyclization procedure for $F(\varphi)$ represented as the Fourier integral hence an interchange of integration and summation order will be needed. Such interchange is justified in a case of uniform convergence. It is clear that if $F_t \in S'$, then the Abel-Poisson regularization for Fourier integrals

$$F(\varphi) = \mathcal{F}[F_t, \varphi] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_t e^{it\varphi} dt \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_t e^{it\varphi - \varepsilon|t|} dt \quad (3a)$$

and Fourier series¹

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n e^{i\varphi n} \rightarrow \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n e^{i\varphi n - \varepsilon|n|} \quad (4)$$

provide a uniform convergence in (4) and (3a). Abel-Poisson regularization also provides a standard representation (see e.g. [6]) for tempered distribution

$$F(\varphi) = F_+(\varphi + i\varepsilon) - F_-(\varphi - i\varepsilon); \quad \tilde{F}(\varphi) = \tilde{F}_+(\varphi + i\varepsilon) - \tilde{F}_-(\varphi - i\varepsilon) \quad (5a)$$

with

$$F_{\pm}(\varphi) = \int_0^{\pm\infty} F_t e^{it\varphi} dt; \quad \tilde{F}_{\pm}(\varphi) = \mp \frac{1}{2} F_0 \pm \sum_{n=0}^{\pm\infty} F_n e^{i\varphi n} \quad (6)$$

Taking into account that

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi t m} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - n) \quad (7)$$

one can easily check that the application of procedure (1) to regularized integral (3a) gives

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\varphi t} \delta(t - n) F_t dt \quad (8)$$

¹Parameter ε is a positive parameter, which is regarded as arbitrary small but finite and, if the opposite is not specified, it finally tends to zero.

The definition of product $\delta(t - n) F_t$ for arbitrary F_t goes beyond the distribution theory and will be partly considered elsewhere. In this paper we consider only those F_t for which this product exists in the distribution theory framework. Recall that Dirac's δ -function is defined as

$$\langle \delta(t - s), \phi(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - s) \phi(t) dt = \phi(s) \quad (9)$$

for any $\phi(t)$ continuous in the small neighborhood of point $t = s$.

After [6] we call the distribution F_t continuous in the area Δ (i.e. $F_t \in C^0(\Delta)$) if there exists some function f_t continuous in Δ and

$$\langle (F_t - f_t), \phi(t) \rangle = \int (F_t - f_t) \phi(t) dt = 0. \quad (10)$$

for any $\phi(t) \in S$ with support located in Δ . As a Δ we choose the set of small neighborhoods $(n - \varepsilon, n + \varepsilon) = \Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$ of all integer n , where positive parameter ε is arbitrary small, but finite. As it is shown in [6], expression (10) defines f_t uniquely almost everywhere. Further on F_t will be interpreted as piecewise 'assembled' distribution which coincides with original F_t for $t \notin \Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$ and we put $F_t = f_t$ for $t \in \Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$. It is clear that in within the distribution theory such 'assembled' distribution does not differ from the original one.

A family of distributions $\{F_t\} = S'_\Delta$ continuous in the area Δ forms a linear space $S'_\Delta \subset S'$. Indeed, S'_Δ includes zero element and $\alpha_1 F_{1,t} + \alpha_2 F_{2,t} \in S'_\Delta$ for any $F_{k,t} \in S'_\Delta$ and arbitrary complex numbers α_k . For any $F_t \in S'_\Delta$ integration in (8) may be done and we get

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\varphi t} \delta(t - n) f_t dt = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\varphi n} F_n \quad (11)$$

In this case $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ can be represented as Fourier series (4) with coefficients given by the standard expression

$$F_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp\{-i\varphi n\} d\varphi \quad (12)$$

The simplest way to compute primordial function $F(\varphi)$ is the straightforward extension of function F_n in (12) to the arbitrary value of parameter $n \rightarrow t$, i.e.

$$\overline{F}_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp\{-i\varphi t\} d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}(\varphi) \exp\{-i\varphi t\} d\varphi \quad (13)$$

where

$$\overline{F}(\varphi) \equiv \begin{cases} \tilde{F}(\varphi) & -\pi \leq \varphi < \pi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

We wish to stress the difference between $\overline{F}_t$ and F_t . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\varphi t} F(\varphi) d\varphi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{(2m-1)\pi}^{(2m+1)\pi} e^{-i\varphi t} F(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-i\varphi t} \overline{F}(\varphi, t) d\varphi \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$F(\varphi, t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i2n\pi t} F(\varphi + 2n\pi) \neq \tilde{F}(\varphi) \quad (16)$$

This, in particular explains the difference in analytical properties of $\overline{F}_t$ and F_t . Expression (13) shows that $\overline{F}_t$ is Fourier transform of distribution $\overline{F}(\varphi)$ with compact support, hence $\overline{F}_t$ is entire function. On the contrary, tempered distribution F_t may be singular. The only additional restriction is that F_t must be continuous on a small neighborhoods of all integer $t = n$.

From (13) and (1) we see that $\widehat{\Sigma}\overline{F}(\varphi) = \tilde{F}(\varphi)$ so that $\overline{F}(\varphi)$ may be regarded as one of the primordial function for $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$. Another primordial function for $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ may be obtained, if instead (13) one takes

$$\overline{F}_t^{[\sigma]} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\sigma-\pi}^{\sigma+\pi} \tilde{F}(\varphi) e^{-i\varphi t} d\varphi \quad (17)$$

where σ is an arbitrary complex number. For all integer $t = n$ we get $\overline{F}_n^{[\sigma]} = F_n$, but for arbitrary t the difference of extensions

$$\omega_t = \overline{F}_t^{[\sigma]} - \overline{F}_t \equiv (e^{\pi it} - e^{-\pi it}) \rho_t \quad (18)$$

does not turn into zero, if

$$\rho_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\sigma \tilde{F}(\varphi - \pi) e^{-i\varphi t} d\varphi \neq 0 \quad (19)$$

Between $\overline{F}(\varphi)$ and $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ there exists a biunique correspondence. Despite the fact that no Fourier series reproduces $\overline{F}(\varphi)$ for $|\varphi| > \pi$, expression (12) does not allow to discriminate coefficients F_n computed with $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ from the ones computed with truncated function $\overline{F}(\varphi)$. However, extension $F_n \rightarrow \overline{F}_t$ with (13) does not obligatory coincide with F_t given by (15). Indeed, from (13) one can easily get

$$\overline{F}_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{F}(\varphi) e^{-i\varphi t} d\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n \frac{\sin \pi(n-t)}{\pi(n-t)} \quad (20)$$

The difference between Fourier transforms of genuine and truncated functions $\overline{F}$

$$\omega_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F(\varphi) - \overline{F}(\varphi)) e^{-i\varphi t} d\varphi \equiv F_t - \overline{F}_t = F_t - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F_n \frac{\sin \pi(n-t)}{\pi(n-t)} \quad (21)$$

generally turns into zero only for integer t . So the main problem in reconstruction of a primordial function is the estimation of admissible ambiguity in analytical continuation of F_n .

3 Uniqueness of straightforward extension

If the class of functions is specified to which the required F_t belongs, this will impose restrictions on the analytical extension of F_n and may reduce ambiguity in the reconstruction of F_t from its values at integer $t = n$. It is known, that F_n may be *uniquely* extended on the whole complex plane, if F_t obeys the Carlson theorem² conditions. According this theorem [8], if F_t is an entire function and the indicator (called also the growth-measuring function) defined as

$$h(\alpha; F_t) \equiv \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \ln |F_{c+re^{i\alpha}}| \quad (22)$$

obeys the conditions

$$h(\alpha; F_t) < \lambda \quad (23)$$

for some $\lambda = \text{const}$, and

$$h\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}; F_t\right) + h\left(\frac{\pi}{2}; F_t\right) < 2\pi, \quad (24)$$

then

$$F_n = 0; \quad n = 0, 1, 2... \quad (25)$$

leads to $F_t \equiv 0$. In other words, any entire function F_t is defined uniquely by its values at integer $t = n = 0, 1, 2...$, if F_t obeys conditions (23) and (24).

If one takes the expression (13) for analytical extension $F_n \rightarrow \bar{F}_t$, it will impose strong restrictions on $\bar{F}_t$. Indeed, (13) may be interpreted as Fourier transform of distribution with a support located in $-\pi \leq \varphi < \pi$, therefore [4, 5] $\bar{F}_t$ is an entire function and for $|t| \rightarrow \infty$

$$h(\alpha; F_t) \leq \pi |\sin \alpha| \quad (26)$$

so that condition (23) is satisfied. However, at the same time (26) does not exclude

$$h\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}; F_n\right) + h\left(\frac{\pi}{2}; F_n\right) = 2\pi, \quad (27)$$

hence condition (24) may be violated and Carlson theorem may fail to work. Incidentally, entire function

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \sigma_n \exp\{i\varphi n\} \right) \exp\{-i\varphi t\} d\varphi = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \sigma_n \frac{\sin \pi(t-n)}{\pi(t-n)} \quad (28)$$

obey (27) and turns into zero for all $t = 0, 1, 2...$, but it does not lead to $\sigma_t \equiv 0$.

One can easily check that if $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ turns into zero at some finite interval $|\varphi - \varphi_0| < \epsilon$; $0 < \epsilon < 2\pi$, the analytical extension (13) acquires the form

$$\bar{F}_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\epsilon}^{2\pi-\epsilon} \tilde{F}(\varphi) e^{-i\varphi t} d\varphi \quad (29)$$

²There are Carlson theorem alternatives (see e.g. [8, 9]) that differ by a set of such conditions.

and condition (24) is satisfied. Such case, however, is rather specific then routine.

Nonetheless, Carlson theorem may be easily modified for Fourier transforms of distributions with support located on interval of the length 2π . To be more specific, we consider $\overline{F}(\varphi)$ defined in (14). Expression (13) defines F_n uniquely. But if F_n is specified, series (4) uniquely defines $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ [6] and consequently $\overline{F}(\varphi)$. Thereby if for all integer n (positive and negative)

$$F_n = 0; \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \quad (30)$$

then from (4) we get $\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \overline{F}(\varphi) = 0$, which with (13) leads to $F_t \equiv 0$.

4 Linear space Ω

Here we estimate the maximum allowable ambiguity in computation of primordial function when no subsidiary conditions are imposed. Any admissible extension F_t was to define Fourier coefficients F_n uniquely. This, in particular means that

$$F_n = \lim_{t \rightarrow n+0} F_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow n-0} F_t \quad (31)$$

i.e. F_t was to be continuous in the area Δ defined above, so F_t is to belong to space S'_Δ . Evidently the same will be true for the difference of any two extensions $F_{1,t} - F_{2,t} = \omega_t$.

A family of distributions $\{\omega_t\} \subset S'_\Delta$, such that $\omega_n = 0$ for all integer n forms linear space Ω . Indeed, Ω includes zero element and $\alpha_1 \omega_{1,t} + \alpha_2 \omega_{2,t} \in \Omega$ for any $\omega_{k,t} \in \Omega$ and arbitrary complex numbers α_k .

It is clear that space Ω may include only those entire functions of exponential type which violate condition (24). Moreover, the analytical extension of any $\omega_n \in \Omega$ with (13) may give only trivial result $\omega_t \equiv 0$, i.e. no $\omega_t \in \Omega$ but $\omega_t \equiv 0$ can be represented as (13).

Fourier transform

$$\omega(\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{i\varphi t\} \omega_t dt \quad (32)$$

relates space $\Omega = \{\omega_t\}$ to linear space $\Omega' = \{\omega(\varphi)\}$. Note, that if $\omega(\varphi) \in \Omega'$ and φ_0 is a constant which does not spoil the convergence of integral in (32) (e.g. $\text{Im } \varphi_0 = 0$), then $\omega(\varphi + \varphi_0) \in \Omega'$.

From (7) we obtain

$$\hat{\Sigma}\omega(\varphi) \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega(\varphi + 2\pi k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{i\varphi t\} \delta(t - m) \omega_t dt \quad (33)$$

Since ω_t is continuous at integer $t = n$, integration in (33) may be done and, considering $\omega_n = 0$, we get

$$\hat{\Sigma}\omega(\varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_n e^{i\varphi n} \equiv \tilde{\omega}(\varphi) = 0 \quad (34)$$

i.e. procedure (1) turns any of $\omega(\varphi) \in \Omega'$ into zero. In other words, space Ω' belongs to the kernel of the operator $\widehat{\Sigma}$. Note, that for any distribution $\psi(\varphi)$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[\psi(\varphi), t] \in S'_\Delta$, the inverse proposition is true as well, i.e. $\widehat{\Sigma}\psi(\varphi) = 0$ leads to $\psi(\varphi) \in \Omega'$.

It should be noted that procedure (1) turns any functions periodic with the period $2\pi\tau$ into zero, if $1/\tau$ is noninteger number. Indeed, arbitrary function with the period τ may be represented as

$$\Phi(\varphi, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(1/\tau) \exp\{in\varphi/\tau\} \quad (35)$$

so, taking into account (7) we get

$$\widehat{\Sigma} \exp\{i\varphi/\tau\} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{i(\varphi - 2\pi n)/\tau\} = \exp\{i\varphi/\tau\} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(m - 1/\tau) = 0 \quad (36)$$

Now we were to summarize over all admissible τ , i.e. over all noninteger $t = 1/\tau$. It means that $c_n(1/\tau) \equiv c_n(t) \in \Omega$ and consequently

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n(t) \exp\{in\varphi t\} dt \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n(\varphi) \in \Omega' \quad (37)$$

and we see that no additional ambiguity is introduced.

If $1/\tau$ is integer, then $\widehat{\Sigma} \exp\{i\varphi\} = \exp\{i\varphi\} \coth \varepsilon/2 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if some function $f(\varphi)$ contains a term periodic with the period $2\pi n$, then $\widehat{\Sigma}$ convert $f(\varphi)$ into an infinite constant. Since we reconstruct primordial function $F(\varphi)$ from periodic function $\widetilde{F}(\varphi)$ which does not contain infinite constants, such terms are out of consideration.

For any $\omega(\varphi) \in \Omega'$ one may define

$$\rho(\varphi) = \widetilde{\rho}(\varphi) + \rho_A(\varphi); \quad \rho_A(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \omega(\varphi - \pi(2k+1)) \quad (38)$$

where $\widetilde{\rho}(\varphi)$ is arbitrary periodic distribution. With this definition one may write

$$\omega(\varphi) = \rho(\varphi + \pi) - \rho(\varphi - \pi) \quad (39)$$

that leads to³

$$\omega_t = (e^{i\pi t} - e^{-i\pi t}) \rho_t \quad (40)$$

We claim that singularity of $\rho_t \equiv \mathcal{F}[\rho(\varphi), t] \in S'$ at integer $t = n$ is weaker than pole

$$\omega_n = 2i \lim_{t \rightarrow n \pm 0} (\rho_t \sin \pi t) = 0 \quad (41)$$

that guarantees $\omega_t \in \Omega$ and no additional restrictions on ρ_t are imposed.

³In the particular case, considered above, ω_t is given by (18) and ρ_t may be given by (19).

Note, that application of the procedure (1) to $\rho(\varphi \pm \pi)$ with arbitrary $\rho(\varphi)$ does not obligatory convert these functions into periodic ones [1]. Therefore combination $\rho(\varphi - \pi) - \rho(\varphi + \pi)$ does not obligatory turn into zero after application of the procedure (1), but if condition (41) is satisfied, it does.

The change of $e^{i\pi t} - e^{-i\pi t}$ in (40) for arbitrary polynomial in $e^{i\pi t}$ and $e^{-i\pi t}$ which turns into zero for all integer t leads to nothing but redefinition of ρ_t . It is clear also that e.g. $\omega_{m,t} = (e^{i\pi t} - e^{-i\pi t})^{\frac{1}{m}} q_t \in \Omega$ for any finite m , if $\rho_t \equiv (e^{i\pi t} - e^{-i\pi t})^{\frac{1}{m}-1} q_t$ obeys (40).

Let tempered distribution q_t be bounded for the integers $t = n$ and $q_t \neq 0$ at least for some integer t , i.e. $q_t \notin \Omega$. Since

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \phi(t), (e^{i\pi t} - e^{-i\pi t})^{\frac{1}{m}} q_t \right\rangle = \langle \phi(t), q_t \rangle \quad (42)$$

for any $\phi \in S$, then, by definition sequence $\omega_{m,t} \in \Omega$. However $\omega_{m,t}$ converges to $q_t \notin \Omega$ with $m \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, Ω is an *incomplete* space.

Let us define the 'window' operator $\hat{\Omega}$ as

$$\hat{\Omega} F_t \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & t \in \Delta_{n,\varepsilon} \\ F_t & t \notin \Delta_{n,\varepsilon} \end{cases} ; \quad F_t \in S' \quad (43)$$

which cuts out small neighborhoods $\Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$ of all integer $t = n$. It is natural to define

$$\hat{\Omega} F(\varphi) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\Omega} F_t \exp\{i\varphi t\} dt \quad (44)$$

so for any $F(\varphi) \in S'$ we get

$$\hat{\Omega} F(\varphi) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{m+\varepsilon}^{m+1-\varepsilon} F_t \exp\{i\varphi t\} dt \in \Omega' \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, since

$$(1 - \hat{\Omega}) F_t = \begin{cases} F_t = f_t & t \in \Delta_{n,\varepsilon} \\ 0 & t \notin \Delta_{n,\varepsilon} \end{cases} ; \quad F_t \in S'_{\Delta} \quad (46)$$

with f_t defined in (10), one may write

$$(1 - \hat{\Omega}) F(\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 - \hat{\Omega}) F_t \exp\{i\varphi t\} dt = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{m+\varepsilon}^{m-\varepsilon} F_t \exp\{i\varphi t\} dt \quad (47)$$

The continuity of F_t on $\Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$ allows to apply the mean value theorem and rewrite (47) as

$$(1 - \hat{\Omega}) F(\varphi) = 2 \frac{\sin \varphi \varepsilon}{\varphi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F_m e^{i\varphi m} = \frac{2 \sin \varphi \varepsilon}{\varphi} \tilde{F}(\varphi). \quad (48)$$

It is clear that the distribution $(1 - \widehat{\Omega}) F(\varphi)$ vanishes with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Nonetheless,

$$(1 - \widehat{\Omega}) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(\varphi + 2\pi n) = \widetilde{F}(\varphi) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin(\varphi + 2\pi n) \varepsilon}{(\varphi + 2\pi n)}. \quad (49)$$

hence, taking into account

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp\{in\alpha\}}{\varphi - 2\pi n} = -\frac{i}{2} \exp\left\{i\varphi \frac{\alpha_{\text{mod } 2\pi}}{2\pi}\right\} \left(\text{signum}(\alpha_{\text{mod } 2\pi}) + i \cot\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)\right), \quad (50)$$

we find

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2 \sin[(\varphi - 2\pi n) \varepsilon]}{(\varphi - 2\pi n)} = 1 \quad (51)$$

and, consequently

$$(1 - \widehat{\Omega}) \widehat{\Sigma} F(\varphi) = (1 - \widehat{\Omega}) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(\varphi + 2\pi n) = \widetilde{F}(\varphi) \quad (52)$$

Since $\widehat{\Omega}^2 = \widehat{\Omega}$, then both $\widehat{\Omega}$ and $1 - \widehat{\Omega}$ may be considered as projectors. A family of tempered distribution $\Xi = \{\widehat{\Omega} \xi_t\}$, with $\xi_t \in S'$, forms a linear subspace of Ω' . For distributions λ_t which are regular and continuous on all $\Delta_{n,\varepsilon}$ one can define a family of functions $\Lambda = \{(1 - \widehat{\Omega}) \lambda_t\}$, which forms a linear space as well. Cyclization procedure (1) converts any $\lambda(\varphi) = \mathcal{F}[(1 - \widehat{\Omega}) \lambda_t, \varphi]$ into a periodic function $\widehat{\Sigma} \lambda(\varphi) \equiv \widetilde{\lambda}(\varphi) = \widetilde{\lambda}(\varphi + 2\pi)$.

Some linear transforms in spaces Ω and Ω' are considered in Appendix.

Now we turn to the ω_t specified in (21) and try to compute the corresponding function ρ_t . Taking into account

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp\{-i\alpha(t - n)\} \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \delta\left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} - n\right) \exp\{-i\alpha t\} \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi} = 1 \quad (53)$$

one may rewrite (21) as

$$\omega_t = \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_t - F_n}{t - n} (-1)^n \quad (54)$$

that leads to

$$\rho_t = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{F}_t - F_n}{t - n} (-1)^n \quad (55)$$

5 Conclusions

In this paper we study a possibility to compute primordial function $F(\varphi)$ for some periodic function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ represented by Fourier series (4) and presumably being a result of application of procedure (1) to $F(\varphi)$.

The reconstruction of primordial function demands an analytical continuation of Fourier coefficients, known only for integer $t = n$, to the whole real axis t . Such extension will introduce an ambiguity, unless the class of functions to which $F(\varphi)$ belongs is not essentially restricted.

As it is known, the extended function F_t is unique only if it obeys the conditions of Carlson theorem. In many cases such condition may appear too restrictive. We suggested a modification of Carlson theorem conditions for the case of extension given by (13), which, as it seems to us, is the simplest way to compute primordial function $F(\varphi)$.

In a case when no subsidiary condition is imposed, primordial function $F(\varphi)$ may be reconstructed from $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ only up to arbitrary $\omega(\varphi) \in \Omega'$.

6 Appendix. Some linear transforms in spaces Ω and Ω'

The distribution theory defines product or convolution only for narrow class of distributions. As it is stated in [5] any tempered infinitely differentiable function K_t is a multiplier in space S so there exists a product of *such* K_t with any tempered distribution. For some subspace of S' restrictions on K_t may appear to be weaker.

Let us assume that for some family of $\omega_t^{[K]} \in \Omega$ there exists a product with some distribution K_t . It is natural to assume that $K_t \omega_t^{[K]} \in \Omega$. This will be true, indeed, if K_t is not 'too singular' at integers $t = n$, i.e. K_t and $\omega_t^{[K]}$ obey the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow n+0} K_t \omega_t^{[K]} = \lim_{t \rightarrow n-0} K_t \omega_t^{[K]} = 0 \quad (56)$$

for all integer n .

Existence of product $K_t \omega_t^{[K]}$ means that convolution of the Fourier transforms $\mathcal{F}[\omega_t^{[K]}, \varphi] = \omega^{[K]}(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{F}[K_t, \varphi] = K(\varphi)$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\alpha - \varphi) \omega^{[K]}(\alpha) d\alpha \equiv \hat{K} \omega^{[K]}(\varphi) \quad (57)$$

exists.

The main convolution property allows to write

$$\hat{\Sigma} \omega^{[K]}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{[K]}(\varphi + 2\pi n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\alpha - \varphi) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{[K]}(\alpha + 2\pi n) d\alpha \quad (58)$$

in other words

$$\widehat{\Sigma}\widehat{K}\omega^{[K]}(\varphi) = \widehat{K}\widehat{\Sigma}\omega^{[K]}(\varphi) = 0 \quad \widehat{K}\omega^{[K]}(\varphi) \in \Omega' \quad (59)$$

that also follows from $K_t\omega_t^{[K]} \in \Omega$.

It is clear that a family of distributions $\Omega_K = \{\omega_t^{[K]}\}$ forms a linear subspace of the space Ω . If in addition Ω_K includes all $K_t\omega_t^{[K]}$ then $\widehat{K}$ converts $\Omega'_K = \{\omega^{[K]}(\varphi)\}$ into itself, i.e. $\widehat{K}\Omega'_K = \Omega'_K$.

It is evident that in particular case

$$K(\varphi) = H(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi - i\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varphi + i\varepsilon} \right) \quad (60)$$

the convolution

$$\widehat{H}\omega^{[H]}(\varphi) = H[\omega^{[H]}, \varphi] = \frac{1}{\pi} pv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^{[H]}(\alpha)}{\alpha - \varphi} d\alpha. \quad (61)$$

may be interpreted as the Hilbert transform of $\omega^{[H]}(\varphi)$. Symbol pv in (61) stands for principal value of the integral.

From the fundamental property of the Hilbert transform we get

$$\widehat{H}\widehat{H}\omega^{[H]}(\varphi) = H[H[\omega^{[H]}(\alpha), \beta], \varphi] = -\omega^{[H]}(\varphi) \quad (62)$$

So the operator $\widehat{H}$ converts subspace $\Omega'_H \subset \Omega'_H$ of functions $\omega^{[H]}$ which admit the Hilbert transform into itself.

With the equation

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\varphi - 2\pi n \pm i\varepsilon} \exp\{-n\epsilon\} = \pm \frac{i}{2} \mp \frac{i}{1 - \exp\{\pm i(\varphi \pm i\varepsilon)\}} \quad (63)$$

one can directly check that $\widehat{\Sigma}H[\omega^{[H]}, \varphi] = 0$.

Recall that if $\omega^{[H]}(\varphi)$ is 'ordinary' function, then to belong to Ω'_H it must be piecewise continuously differentiable and there exists some constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\omega^{[H]}(\varphi)| = O(|\varphi|^{-\lambda}); \quad (64)$$

There are various approaches to Hilbert transform definition for distributions. A brief review is given in [10]. According to [3] the distributions $f(\alpha)$ which admit Hilbert transform $H[f(\alpha), \varphi]$ may be defined as the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H[f(\alpha), \varphi], \phi(\varphi) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} pv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^{[H]}(\alpha)}{\alpha - \varphi} d\alpha \right) \phi(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &= \langle f(\alpha), H[\phi(\varphi), \alpha] \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

on the test functions $\phi(\varphi) \in \Phi$, which are infinitely differentiable and $\phi(\varphi) \leq O(1/|\varphi|)$ for $|\varphi| \rightarrow \infty$. Taking into account (62) we get $H[\phi] \in \Phi$ for any $\phi(\varphi) \in \Phi$, or $H[\Phi] = \Phi$. In particular

$$\left\langle H[\omega^{[H]}], \phi \right\rangle = \left\langle \omega^{[H]}, \phi' \right\rangle, \quad \phi' \equiv H[\phi] \quad (66)$$

so $H[\omega^{(H)}] \in \Omega'_H$. So taking into account (62) we see that Hilbert transform, indeed converts Ω'_H into itself.

It should be noted that if condition (64) is not satisfied, Hilbert transform may be computed with some regularization. However in this case an important property of the Hilbert transform

$$\text{disc}\{H[f(\alpha), \varphi]\} \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{H[f(\alpha), \varphi - i\varepsilon] - H[f(\alpha), \varphi + i\varepsilon]}{2i} = f(\varphi) \quad (67)$$

may be broken. Indeed, Hilbert transform for $f(\alpha) = \exp\{i\alpha\sigma\}$

$$H[e^{i\alpha\sigma}, \varphi] = \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\alpha\sigma}}{\sigma - \varphi} d\sigma = e^{i\alpha\varphi} \frac{1}{\pi} p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\alpha\sigma}}{\sigma} d\sigma = ie^{i\alpha\varphi} \text{signum}(\alpha) \quad (68)$$

is the entire function of φ so $\text{disc}\{H[\exp\{i\alpha\sigma\}, \varphi]\} \equiv 0$.

For some functions that does not obey (64) the fundamental equation (62) may be broken too. Indeed, Hilbert transform of $f = 1$ is $H[1] = 0$ (see e.g. [11]15.2(1)).

The reason is that we need the uniform convergence of the integral in (61) to change the order of integration in (62) or the order integration and proceeding to limit in (67). It is easy to prove that in the area $|\varphi| < \Phi$ with arbitrary large but finite Φ integral (61) converge uniformly, if the condition (64) is satisfied.

References

- [1] V.K. Petrov 'Some remarks on cyclization and periodicity', hep-lat/0212016. V.K. Petrov 'Some peculiarities of transition from discrete to continuum Fourier series in lattice theories' hep-lat/0212028.
- [2] L. Schwartz, 'Méthodes Mathématiques pour les sciences physiques', Hermann 1961. 'MATHEMATICS FOR THE PHYSICAL SCIENCES'. Addison-Wesley, 1966, 'THEORY OF DISTRIBUTIONS', Hermann, 1957.
- [3] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov. 'Generalized functions', Vol. 1: Properties and Operations. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958, New York: Harcourt Brace, 1977.
- [4] I. M. Gel'fand, and G. E. Shilov, 'Generalized Functions', Vol. 2: Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions. Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958, New York: Harcourt Brace, 1977.

- [5] H. Bremermann, '*Distributions, complex variables and Fourier transforms*', Addison-Wesley 1965.
- [6] V. S. Vladimirov, Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics. Moscow: Nauka, 1976.
- [7] W.F. Donoghue, '*Distributions and Fourier transforms*', Academic Press, NY 1969.
- [8] L. Bieberbach, '*Analytische fortsetzung*', Springer Verlag 1955.
- [9] E. Hille, '*ANALYTIC FUNCTION THEORY*', Ginn, 1962.
- [10] Yu.A. Brychkov, A.P. Prudnikov '*Integral transforms of generalized functions*', "Nauka", Moscow
- [11] H. Bateman and A. Erdélyi, '*Higher Transcendental Functions*', MC Graw-Hill, inc. 1953.